COMPANY, INC. UNITED STAT NORTHERN DIS	TES DISTRICT COURT TRICT OF CALIFORNIA OSE DIVISION *E-FILED - 5/12/06* Case No. C-05-04020 RMW (PVT) STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER RE BRIEFING AND HEARING SCHEDULE ON MOTIONS TO DISMISS AND MOTIONS TO STRIKE Date: N/A Time: N/A Dept.: Courtroom 6 - 4th Floor ROSED ORDER (Case No. 05-04020)
)) STIPULATION AND (REGROSED)
COMPANY, INC.,	
) Case No. C-05-04020 RMW (PVT)
SAN JO	OSE DIVISION *E-FILED - 5/12/06*
	17 77 77 7 7 140 10 11
	17 77 77 7 7 140 10 11
	17 77 77 7 7 140 10 11
NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
OWITAIN I , IINC.	
OMPANY, INC.	
ON CORPORATION AND	
OMPANY, INC.	
UNITED STAT	TES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	17 77 77 7 7 140 10 11
SAN JO	OSE DIVISION *E-FILED - 5/12/06*
) Case No. C-05-04020 RMW (PVT)
COMPANY, INC.,	}
Plaintiffs,	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
	ORDER RE BRIEFING AND
	j
1, and DOES 1-20,	
Defendants.	
Doloitauno,	\
)
	}
	·

WHEREAS, on August 11, 2005, Plaintiffs McKesson Corporation and HBO & Company, Inc. (collectively, "Plaintiffs") filed a Complaint against Defendants Arthur Andersen LLP ("Andersen") and Robert A. Putnam ("Putnam" and together with Andersen and Plaintiffs, the "Parties");

WHEREAS, on or about February 24, 2006, the Parties entered into a Stipulation and [Proposed] Order RE: (1) Consolidated Briefing on Motions to Dismiss; (2) Briefing and Hearing Schedule; and (3) Changing Date for Case Management Conference, pursuant to which the Parties agreed, among other things, to a schedule for the briefing and hearing on motions to dismiss and related motions that Andersen and Putnam expected to file in response to the Complaint; and

WHEREAS, on March 2, 2006, Defendants Andersen and Putnam responded to the Complaint by filing motions to dismiss the Complaint pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and motions to strike certain portions of the complaint;

WHEREAS, by order dated March 14, 2006, the Court approved the Parties' stipulation, including the briefing and hearing schedule on the motions to dismiss and motions to strike; and

WHEREAS, the Parties have agreed to modify the briefing and hearing schedule on the pending motions to dismiss and motions to strike to accommodate the unexpected professional commitments of counsel for McKesson and HBOC, and to coordinate the hearing on these motions with the case management conference currently set for June 16, 2005;

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between the Parties that:

- (a) McKesson and HBOC shall file their consolidated opposition to the motions to dismiss and their opposition to the motions to strike on or before April 28, 2006, and shall serve any such opposition papers on that date by hand or, if not by hand, by e-mail or facsimile and overnight delivery;
- (b) Andersen and Putnam shall file a consolidated reply in support of their motions to dismiss and any reply in support of their motions to strike on or before June 2, 2006, and shall serve any such reply papers on that date by hand or, if not by hand, by e-mail or facsimile and overnight delivery;

1	(c) The Hearing on the motions to dismiss and motions to strike shall be held	
2	on June 16, 2006, at 9:00 a.m.	
3		
4	DATED: March, 2006 LAW OFFICES OF STEVEN J. ROSENBERG, P.C.	
5		
6	By Steven Cosenbury 15v	
7	Steven J. Rosenberg Attorneys for Defendant	
8	ROBEŘT A. PUTNAM	
9		
10	DATED: March 2006 ALSCHULER GROSSMAN STEIN & KAHAN LLP	
11		
12	By	
13	Scott Vick	
14	Attorneys for Defendant ARTHUR ANDERSEN LLP	
15		
16	DATED: March 21, 2006 SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE, MEAGHER & FLOM LLP	
17		
18	By By	
19	Timothy Miller Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
20	McKESSON CORPORATION and HBO & COMPANY, INC.	
21		
22		
23	ORDER	
24	PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25		
26	DATED: 5/11/06, 2006 (s/Popeld M. Whyte	
27	/s/ Ronald M. Whyte The Honorable Ronald M. Whyte	
28	United States District Judge	
	100000 00 00000 000	
	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER (Case No. 05-04020)	